## <u>Litigation Support Experience - Tal Lavian, Ph.D.</u>

https://TelecommNet.com tlavian@telecommnet.com (408) 209-9112

Case Name: Fleet Connect Solutions LLC v. Teletrac Navman US Ltd.

Client: Fox Rothschild, LLP

Patent No: US-7,742,388, US-7,593,751, US-6,961,586, US-8,494,581,

US-7,206,837, US-7,463,896, US-7,656,845, and US-7,783,304

Matter: No. 8:23-cv-01759-JWH(DFMx) (C.D. Cal.), Fleet Connect Solutions LLC

v. Teletrac Navman US Ltd., No. 2:24-cv-00939-JWH(DFMx) (C.D. Cal.) Re: Infringement, invalidity of network communication hand-held devices

May 2024.

Case Name: Juniper Networks v. Portsmouth Networks Corporation

Client: Wolf Greenfield LLP Patent No: US 8,014,394

Matter: PTAB Declarations re: High-Speed p processing for multicast content requests, switch architecture, routing processing design, multicast traffic over a

communication network.

April 2024.

Case Name: Fortinet, Inc. v. Forescout Technologies Inc.,

Case No. 20-cv-03343-EMC (JSC) (Northern District of California)

Client: Skadden, Arp, Slate. Meagher & Flom LLP.

Patent No: Multiple NAC patents.

Matter: Prepared several invalidity, infringement, and rebuttal expert reports on network security, network access control, security architectures, and access devices.

December 2023.

Case Name: Corrigent Corp. v. Arista Networks, Inc.,

Case No. 1:22-cv-00497-UNA (District of Delaware)

**USPTO PTAB IPRs** 

Client: Baker Botts LLP.

Patent No: US 7.593.400. US 6.957.369. US 9.118.602. and US 7.330.431

Matter: Prepared several IPR invalidity declarations. L2 MAC, VPLS, MPLS, Ring Network topology IEEE 803.17 standard, Spanning Trees IEEE 802.1d standard.

December 2022

Case Name: Salutica Allied Solutions v. Apple Malaysia

Case: APL2022048377 (Malaysia)

Client: Wong & Partners Patent No: MY 172803,

Matter: Prepared invalidity expert report. Prepared non-infringement expert report. Bluetooth technology in Apple iPhone, iPad, iWatch, AirPods, and

MacBook. Bluetooth IEEE 802.15 standard. January 2023

Case Name: Yoo v. VoIP Guardian, LLC

Central District of California 2:21-ap-01044-BR

Client: BG Law LLP

Matter: Voice over IP CDR. Research and investigation of VoIP CDRs. May 2023

Case Name: Sectra Communications AB v. Absolute Software and NetMotion

Software. Case No. 2:22-cv-00353-RSM (W.D. Wash.)

Client: McDermott Will & Emery LLP

Patent Nos: US 7,797,437

Matter: Wireless handover between heterogeneous communications networks.

March 2023

Case Name: Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC v. NASDAQ Inc.

**USPTO PTAB Reexam preparations** 

Client: Reed Smith, LLP

Patent Nos: US 7,921,051, US 6,618,707,

Matter: Electronic-based security trading, a configurable computation look-up

table. December 2022

Case Name: Unified Patents v. 2Bcom LLC.

**USPTO PTAB Reexam preparations** 

Client: Greenberg Traurig, LLP

Patent No: US 7,020,467

Matter: Research and analysis and invalidity claim chart. Bluetooth and wireless

channels. Not filed. March 2023

Case Name: Canada Revenue Services v. Iris Technologies Inc.

Tax Court Canada case #2021-226(GST)G and 2021-2215(GST)G

Client: Canada Department of Justice

Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) technologies, CDR

Matter: Research and analysis of VoIP CDRs. January 2023

Case Name: MOV-Ologi LLC v. Big Commerce Inc

Western Texas Case 6:22-cv-00084-ADA

Client: Fish Richardson P.C.

Patent Nos: US 9,286,282 and US 10,769,358

Matter: Claim Constructions. E-commerce, obtaining data from abandoned

webpage form. Declaration August 2022

Case Name: NetScout Systems Inc. v. Sons of Innovation Solutions LLC

Case 3:21-cv-1788 (S.D. Cal.) and IPR

Client: EriseIP LLP

Patent Nos: US 8,065,399, US 9,436,542

Matter: Automated network diagnostics. Declaration October 2022

Case Name: Ericsson Inc. and Oracle Corp v. Telecom Network Solutions LLC

USPTO PTAB IPR Client: Venable LLP Patent No: RE47,813

Matter: Feedback Loop for Dynamic Network Resource Allocation as disclosed in

standard bodies such as IEEE, IETF RFCs, and 3GPP. September 2022

Case Name: **George Ku** v. Harald Herchen

CA Case No. 21-CV-376210 Client: Farlin, Hecht & Davis LLP

Matter: Google Gmail account and emails from iPhone and Apple MacBook laptop.

Email headers analysis, SMTP, and IP locations. November 2022.

Case Name: Proven Networks LLC. v. SAP America, Inc ("SAP")

C.A. No. 2:21-cv-00100-JRG

Client: Venable LLP Patent No: US 8,266,530

Matter: Network Management System (NMS), multiple displays of dynamic alarm windows. Claim Construction, Invalidity, Non-Infringement, and PTAB IPR, 2021.

Case Name: Firtiva Corp v. Funimation Global Group, LLC,

Texas Eastern District Court - Marshal No. 2:21-cv-111-JRG-RSP

Client: Saul Ewing Arnstein & Lehr LLP

Patent No: US 10,116,999

Matter: A Claim Construction Declaration re: Broadcast communication - embedded information and content in electronic transmission, September 2021.

Case Name: Juniper Networks v. Smart Path Connections LLC PTAB

Client: Fisch Sigler LLP

Patent No: US 7,463,580, US 7,386,010, US 7,697,525, 7,961,755

Matter: PTAB Declarations re: MPLS Tunneling, IETF RFCs standards for MPLS, Multiprotocol media conversations, Pseudowire, MAC, SONET, 2021.

Case Name: Microsoft v. Uniloc - PTO Ex-Parte Re-examination '622 patent

Client: Klarquist Sparkman, LLP

Patent No: US. 8,724,622

Matter: An Expert Declaration re: Network Communications, instant VoIP

messaging using mobile wireless devices. (Same patent for other parties, Apple,

Facebook, and WhatsApp), April 2021.

Case Name: **ZTE Corp.** PTAB IPR

Client: Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

Patent No: US 8.179.960

Matter: An Expert Declaration re: Video communications, streaming, and

coding, February 2021.

Case Name: Optis Wireless Technology, LLC, v. Tesla Inc.

Client: Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP

Patent No: US 8,149,727

Matter: An Expert consulting re: cellular radio communications and wireless for

Tesla electric cars, February 2021

Case Name: Unified Patents v. BCS Software LLC. USPTO Reexamination

Client: Unified Patents, LLC Patent No: US 7,302,612

Matter: An Expert Declaration re: Network Management, Network Health, and operation. Operational Support System (OSS), SNMP, and Device Management,

March 2021.

Case Name: WSOU Investment v. Huawei Technologies

Client: McGuireWoods,

Patent No: US 6,882,627, US 7,508,755 and US 7,872,973, US 7,406,260,

US 7,423,962

Matter: Investigation and an expert report for '627, '755, and '973. Claim

Constructions' expert report for '260 and '962 re: Claim Construction, MPLS

Routing, Packet Switching, Queuing, January 2021.

Case Name: TCL Communication Technology Holding

Client: Cozen O'Connor

Patent No: US 6,058,304 and US 7,139,591

Matter: IPR declarations and deposition re: Wireless telephony, handheld

wireless device architecture, March 2020.

Case Name: **Polycom**, Inc. v. Direct Packet Research, Inc.

Client: Oblon (PTAB) and Pepper Hamilton (Federal)

Patent No: US 7,710,978, US 7,773,588, and US 8,560,828

Matter: Six PTAB IPR declarations, multiple depositions. Expert reports for

federal court, deposition re: Firewall and secured multimedia

communications, June 2019.

Case Name: Avago Technologies v. Netflix

Client: Hopkins Carley

Patent No: US 8,572,138, US 9,319,289, and US 9,497,264

Matter: An investigation, technology analysis re: Video Streaming, distributed

network services, September 2019.

Case Name: Guest Tek v. Nomadix - Case No. T-448-17 Federal Court in Canada

Client: Knobbe Martens, and ROBIC LLP

Patent No: CA 600,760, CA 2,750,345, CA 2 790 354, and 2 817 932.

US8811184, US9154435, US9531640, US9871738

Matter: An investigation, multiple non-infringement, and invalidity expert

reports, testimony in a Canadian court re: wireless and communications access control, June 2019.

Case Name: Microsoft V. Uniloc USA, IPR

Client: Klarquist Sparkman LLP

Patent No: US8,724,622

Matter: An IPR expert report re: An IPR expert report (very similar to Apple,

Facebook WhatsApp expert reports), 2019.

Case Name: Unified Patents v. FireNet Technologies LLC, IPR

Client: Unified Patents Patent No: US 8,892,600

Matter: An IPR expert report re: Access and security of data networking attached devices, Network Firewall, Proxy Server, Network security, 2019.

Case Name: Guaranteed Rate, Inc. v. Netrix

Client: Novack and Macey LLP

Matter: An expert report, a deposition re: MPLS and broadband architectures

using Cisco equipment, 2019.

Case Name: Citrix Systems, Inc. v. Avi Networks, Inc.,

Case No. 17-1843-LPS (D. Delaware) Client: Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Patent No: US 9,148,493, US 8,631,120

Matter: Expert declarations for PTAB IPR re: Declaration for Claim Construction

brief, depositions, 2019.

Case Name: **Apple** Inc. v. VoIP-Pal.com, Inc.; Inter Partes Review

Client: Erise IP PA.

Patent No: US 9,537,762; US 9,813,330; US 9,826,002; and US 9,948,549 Matter: Expert declarations re: VoIP and network communications, 2019.

Case Name: Ticket Network, Inc., and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc.

Client: Fish & Richardson P.C

Patent No: US7,548,867, US7,640,178

Matter: IPR Expert reports, deposition re: Web reservation over the Internet and

database consistency over multiple reservation instances, 2017-2018.

Case Name: Amazon Inc v. Hera Wireless

Client: The WEBB Law Firm

Patent No: US7,454,234, US7,369,878, and US7,873,389

Matter: Research, analysis, and drafting an expert report (not filed) re:

Wireless technologies, Wi-Fi 802.11 a/b/n, MIMO, 2018.

Case Name: ATEN International Co., LTD v. Uniclass Technology Co., LTD

Client: Mei & Mark LLP ( / Devlin Law Firm LLC)

Patent No: US6,957,287, US7,472,217, US7,640,289 and US8,589,141

Matter: Several infringement expert reports. Deposition and court testimony at trial before a jury. Patents related to KVM switch architectures, Bluetooth. Network

communications, 2016-2017.

Case Name: Apple V. Uniloc USA, IPR2017-01804

Client: Stern Kessler Goldstein Fox

Patent No: US8,724,622

Matter: An IPR expert report re: An IPR expert report (the same as for

Facebook WhatsApp), 2017.

Case Name: IPR for **Huawei** and RPX

Client: Wolf Greenfield LLP

Patent No: US6,738,378 & US6,252,848

Matter: An IPR expert report re: Patents in network communications. TCP/IP,

Internet Protocols, 2017.

Case Name: LG and Huawei v. Intellectual Venture

Client: Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

Patent No: US8,994,433

Matter: An IPR expert report re: Wireless Mobile Devices. An IPR expert report.

(The same as for Facebook WhatsApp), 2017.

Case Name: T-Mobile v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies LP.

Client: Perkins Coie LLP

Patent No: US8,559,369 and US9,042,306

Matter: An expert for T-Mobile in a single IPR for the two patents re: Wi-Fi

Tethering in broadband cellular data, 2017.

Case Name: Facebook, Inc., Instagram LLC v. Skky, LLC

Client: Cooley, LLP

Patent No: US8,892,465, US9,118,693, US9,124,717, US9,124,718,

US9,203,870, US9,203,956, US9,215,310 and US 9,219,810,

Further IPRs for Patent Nos. US8,892,465, US9,118,693,

US9,124,717 and US9,124,718

Matter: An expert for defendant Facebook, IPR, and CBM expert reports,

Deposition testimony re: Patents related to cellular systems using

OFDM, 2017.

Case Name: Facebook, WhatsApp. V. Uniloc USA., Inc.

Client: Cooley, LLP

Patent No: US8,199,747, US8,995,433, US8,243,723, and US 7,535,890.

IPR2017-01524, IPR2017-01365, IPR2017-01523

Matter: Expert for defendant Facebook. Wrote IPR expert reports. Testified

in depositions re: Mobile wireless. Patents related to Unified Communications. VoIP, Cellphone Short Messages, SMS, MMS, Chat app, 2016.

Case Name: YMax Corporation v. Focal IP, LLC

Client: Akerman LLP

Patent No: US7,764,777, US8,155,298, US8,724,622 and US 8,457,113 Matter: Expert for the defendant and IPR petitioner, YMax Corp., Wrote three expert reports for the PTAB and testified in depositions regarding Patents

related to telecommunications architecture, PSTN, and VoIP.

Streaming media, 2016.

Case Name: FedEx (RPX) v. Intellectual Ventures IPR2017-00729, IPR2017-00741

Intellectual Ventures, LLC and Callahan Cellular LLC v. FedEx Corp.

Client: Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

Patent No: US6,633,900 and US8,494,581

Matter: Wrote two IPR expert reports and testified in depositions re: Patents

related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Cellular

systems, 2016 – 2017.

Case Name: Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap Corporation

Client: Warner Norcross & Judd

Patent No: US6,016,307, US6,473,404, US6,144,641, US8,400,926 and

US9,036,499

Matter: Defendant's expert. Wrote a claim-construction declaration. re: Patents related to multi-protocol telecommunications routing optimization. Routing and packet switching, 2016.

Case Name: Facebook IPR PTAB v. Windy City

Client: Cooley, LLP

Patent No: US8,458,245, US8,407,356, US8,473,552 and US8,694,657 (IPR2016-01157, IPR2016-01158, IPR2016-01159, IPR2016-01156)

Matter: The expert for petitioner Facebook, Inc. wrote an IPR expert report for

the PTAB, and testified in depositions. Re: Patents related to network

communications. Mobile wireless (SMS on WhatsApp), 2016.

Case Name: RPX IPR PTAB filing.

Client: Winston & Strawn Patent No: US8.788.090

Matter: Expert for petitioner RPX. Wrote an IPR expert report for the PTAB

re: Patents related to network communications, 2016.

Case Name: **ServiceNow**, Inc. v. BCM Software, Inc.

Client: Cooley, LLP

Patent No: USPTO PTAB IPR Cases: IPR2015-01555; IPR2015-01211;

IPR2015-01631; IPR2015-01601. CBM Case CBM2015-00170

,2:14-CV-0090, US8,646,093; US8,646,093 (CBM as well), US 7,617,073;

US8,674,992; US6,816,898

Matter: Expert for the defendant and IPR petitioner, ServiceNow, Inc., wrote IPR and CBM expert reports for PTAB wrote expert reports for claim constructions. Testified in depositions re: Patents related to the network. Management, 2015.

Case Name: **ServiceNow**, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Company

Client: Cooley, LLP

Patent No: USPTO PTAB IPR Cases IPR2015-00707; IPR2015-00716; IPR2015-00631; IPR2015-00717; IPR2015-00707. CBM, CBM2015-00108; CBM2015-00077US7,925,981; US7,945,860; US7,392,300; US7,027,411;

US7,925,981, US7,945,860 (CBM); US7,925,981 (CBM)

Matter: The expert for the defendant and IPR petitioner, ServiceNow, Inc., wrote IPR and CBM expert reports for the PTAB Cases IPR2015-00631 and IPR2015-00717. Testified in depositions. Re: Patents related to Web services and Internet technologies. Internet protocols, routing, and switching 2015.

Case Name: SNMP Research International, Inc., et al. v. Avaya, Inc.

Client: Holland & Knight, LLP

Matter: Expert for defendant Avaya, Inc., wrote expert reports. Provided deposition testimony re: Network management for IP-PBX. Internet Protocols, TCP/IP, Network Management, SNMP, 2015.

Case Name: Qurio Holdings v. **DirectTV** Case No.15-cv-01986-HSG (N.D. CA), and 3 IPR petitions IPR2015-02005, IPR2015-02006, IPR2015-02007

Client: Perkins Coie, LLP

Patent No: US7,787,904, US8,102,863 and US8,879,567

Matter: Expert for the defendant and IPR petitioner, DirectTV, wrote three

IPR expert reports re: Mobile device control, WAN to wireless LAN

gateway, wireless LAN gateway, 2015.

Case Name: FedEx Corporation and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc.,

Petitioner v. Loramax LLC, Patent Owner

Client: Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

Patent No: US5,689,642 and US5,513,126

Matter: The expert for the defendant and IPR petitioner FedEx Corporation wrote an IPR expert report re: Improving communication between devices or stations. (Plaintiff dismissed the case without IPR filed) 2015.

Case Name: Expert for GT&T

Client: McGuire Woods, LLP

Matter: An expert for a cellular telecommunications company re: Analysis of

cellular network and phone systems, 2015.

Case Name: MD. Security Solutions, LLC v. RPX

Client: Wolf Greenfield, LLP

Patent No: US7,864,983

Matter: Expert for IPR petitioner RPX. Wrote an IPR expert report re:

Network communications for alarm systems, 2015.

Case Name: IXI Mobile v. Apple Inc. 14-cv-7954 SDNY

Client: Simpson Thacher & Bartlett, LLP

Patent No: US7,295,532, US7,426,398, US7,016,648, and US 7,039,033 Matter: Searched for prior art, prepared invalidity claim charts re: Invalidity

claim charts related to iPhone, iPad, and others, Apple's

mobile wireless products and technologies, 2015.

Case Name: USPTO Office Action App. 13/432.775 (**NetAirus**)

Client: Hovey Williams, LLP

Patent No: Patent App. 13/432.775

Matter: A short declaration for an office action re: Desktop computer

configuring the system, 2015.

Case Name: Spherix v. Cisco Systems 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.)

Client: Kirkland & Ellis, LLP

Patent No: US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222,848,

US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US 7,397,763, US

7,664,123, US 7,385,998, US 8,607,323 US 6,879,594,

US 6,661, 788, US 6,233,245, US 6,684,241, US 6,661, 788, US 6,879,594

Matter: Searched for prior art, invalidity claim charts, and investigation re:

Network communication, routing, and switching technologies, 2014.

Case Name: Bockstar Technologies, LLC v. Cisco Systems, Inc.

Case No. 1: 13-cv-2020-SLR (D. Del.)

Client: Desmarais, LLP

Patent No: US 5,732,080, US 6,069,895, US 6,233,245, US 6,636,508,

US 6,684,241, US 6,778,653

Matter: Research and analysis of the prior art re: Network communication,

routing, and switching technologies, TCP/IP. Management of

bandwidth in a data communication network. Distributed route servers,

2014.

Case Name: Cisco Systems, Inc. - USPTO Inter Partes Review (IPR)

(the petition was not filed)

Client: Armstrong Teasdale, LLP

Patent No: US 5,583,862

Matter: Expert for petitioner Cisco Systems, Inc. Wrote invalidation expert

reports for the USPTO PTAB re: Patent-related to

telecommunications, routing, and switching technologies. Routing

Switching, Network Communications, packet switching, Internet

Protocols, TCP/IP protocol suite, 2014.

Case Name: Mobotix - Inter Partes Review IPR2015-00093

Client: Fish Richardson, LLP Patent No: US 6,975,220

Matter: Expert for petitioner Mobotix. Wrote invalidation expert reports for the USPTO PTAB regarding the USPTO Inter Partes Review (IPR) patent.

related to network architecture, network communications protocols,

and architectures, 2014.

Case Name: Brilliant Optical Solutions, LLC v. Comcast

District of Colorado, Case no. 1:13-CV-00886-REB-KMT and USPTO

Inter Partes Review (IPR)

Client: Faegre Baker Daniels, LLP

Patent No: US 5,555,478

Matter: Expert for the defendant and IPR petitioner, Comcast. Wrote invalidation expert reports for the USPTO PTAB IPR (Plaintiff dismissed the case without filing IPR) regarding patents related to routers' switching fabric architecture. Routing, packet Switching, 2014.

Case Name: Brixham Solutions, LTD, vs. Juniper Networks

3:13-cv-00616-JCS (N.D. CA) and USPTO IPR 2014-00425 and IPR 2014-00431

Client: Irell & Manella, LLP, CA

Patent No: US7,940,652 and US7,535,895

Matter: Wrote two invalidation expert reports for the USPTO PTAB. Testified in depositions. re: expert for the defendant and IPR petitioner Juniper Networks, a patent related to routers' switching fabric architecture, and a patent related to Ethernet pseudo-wire VPN. Routing Switching, Network Communications architectures, and protocols, 2014.

Case Name: Ericsson v. Samsung (I.T.C.), ITC Investigation NO. 337-TA-862

Client: McKool Smith (Dallas, TX)
Patent No: US6,772,215, US6,519,223

Matter: Wrote several expert reports. Testified in depositions. Testified in the

ITC court. re: Patents related to cellular systems, CDMA, GSM, UMTS,

LTE, and wireless network communications. Mobile Systems and Cellular

Networks, 2013.

Case Name: Comcast Cable Communications, LLC et al. v. BT. Americas, Inc.

3:12-cv-01712-M (ND Tex.)

Client: Keker & Van Nest, LLP

Patent No: US7,142,508, US5,638,516

Matter: Analysis, claim terms, and Markman claim construction re: MPLS

technology, Routing Switching, Network Communications, 2013.

Case Name: NetAirus Systems LLC v. Kappos

USPTO 1:12-cv-00709-AJT-JFA, 1:12-cv-01207-AJT-TRJ

Client: Williams, LLP (KS)

Patent No: US5,280,583, US5,589,849, US2005/0110780 A1

Matter: Wrote a declaration regarding claim amendment regarding video conference application program, unified communications, streaming video, and VoIP, 2013.

Case Name: e-Watch, Inc. v. Mobotix Corp. USPTO Reexamination

Client: Slater&Matsil, LLP (Dallas, TX)

Patent No: US6,970,183

Matter: Expert for the petitioner, Mobotix Corp. Wrote an invalidity declaration - USPTO IPR2013-00255 re: A wireless monitoring system, wireless networking, wire-line networking, 2013.

Case Name: Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination

Client: Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL)

Patent No: US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205

Matter: Wrote an invalidity expert report re: Patents related to network

communications, remote KVM, 2012.

Case Name: Wassink v. **ACS** (Affiliated Computer Systems, Inc.)

8:11-cv-00554-CJC-MLG (CD, CA)

Client: Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP (Irvine, CA)

Matter: Wrote an expert report re: Cisco certification of IT personnel, Network

Communications, 2012.

Case Name: **HumanEyes** Technologies, LTD v. Sony Electronics (ITC.)

1:12-cv-00398 (D. Del.)

Client: Kenyon& Kenyon, LLP Patent No: 6,665,003, 7,4 77,284

Matter: Investigation of patents and related technologies re: Software related to mobile devices, video streaming including panoramic imaging, mobile wireless

devices, 2012.

Case Name: Realtime Data v. Morgan Stanley et al.

1:11-cv-6696,-6701,-6704 (S.D. N.Y.)

Client: Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP (New York, NY)

Patent No: 7,417,568, 7,714,747, 7,777,651

Matter: Wrote a rebuttal to an infringement report, was deposed re: Technology related to a network communication protocol, TCP/IP Protocols, streaming media,

and QoS 2012.

Case Name: Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. v. VanguardBank and PNC Bank

Client: Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

Patent No: US5,940,510, US5,949,880, US6,105,013, US6,237,095

Matter: Investigation of prior art re: Patents litigation related to virtual private networks (VPN) and secure service layer (SSL), Network Security, 2012.

Case Name: M2M Solutions, LLC v. Sierra Wireless, CA No. 12-030-RGA (D. Del.)

Client: Foley & Lardner, LLP

Patent No: US7,583.197, US8,094,010

Matter: Investigation of the prior art, claim charts re: Patents related to wireless

and mobile devices in cellular systems, 2012.

Case Name: Site Update Solutions, LLC v. Accor North America, Inc., et al.

4:11-CV-03306-PJH (ND CA), lpr2016-01235, CBS Corp., 15-1448, 13-1458, 5:11cv3306

Client: Goldstein & Lipski, P.LLC (Houston, TX)

Patent No: US RE40.683, US 6,253,198

Matter: A declaration re: Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and

e-commerce, 2012.

Case Name: EIT Holdings LLC V. Netflix and Barnes & Noble Inc.

Client: Goldstein & Lipski, P.LLC (Houston, TX)

Patent No: 5:11-CV-02466; 2012 WL 728387, 1:10-CV-01081,

2011 WL 7149251,2011 WL 7149248

Matter: Expert report re: Patent-related to Internet and Web technologies, 2012.

Case Name: **Proxyconn**, Inc. v. Microsoft et al. 8:11-cv-1681-DOC-AN (CD CA)

Client: Russ, August & Kabat (Los Angeles, CA)

Patent No: US6,757,717, US6,370,646

Matter: Investigation of technology was deposed regarding speed data accessing

in

communication networks. Bandwidth and network access, 2012.

Case Name: Walker Digital v. Google 1:11-cv-00318-LPS (D. Del)

Client: Greenberg Traurig, LLP

Patent No: US5,884,272, US5,884,270, US5,824,082, US 5,136,501,

US5.832.497

Matter: Invalidity analysis, investigation of patents and related technologies re:

Internet and e-commerce technologies, network communications, 2012.

Case Name: BackWeb v. HP and IBM 4:10-cv-04311-PJH

Client: Hosie Rice, LLP (San Francisco, CA)

Matter: Investigated patents and related technologies re: Patent litigation

related to network communication, bandwidth allocation, 2012.

Case Name: High Point SARL v. Sprint Nextel 2:09-CV-2269 (Kansas City, KN)

Client: Hogan Lovells US, LLP

Patent No: US5,884,272, US5,884,270, US5,824,082, US5,136,501, US5,832,497

Matter: Search for invalidity prior art re: Patents related to mobile wireless and

cellular systems, 2011.

Case Name: Emblaze v. Apple 1:10-cv-5713 -PKC (SDNY.)

Client: Greenberg Traurig, LLP

Patent No: US6,389,473, US5,841,432, US5,751,968, US6,138,147,

US5,610,841, US6,397,230, US5,579,239, US5,928,330,

US5,987,510, US6,014,694, US6,151,632, US5,864,682, US5,659,539

Matter: Investigation of patents, invalidity analysis re: Computer network protocol for multimedia applications. Network Communications, Internet

Protocol, TCP/IP, SMS, MMS Streaming Video, 2011.

Case Name: Motorola Mobility, Inc. v. Microsoft 1:10-cv-24063-MORENO (SD, FL)

Client: Ropes & Gray, LLP (New York, NY)

Patent No: US7,024,214, US7,493,130, US7,725,584, US6,219,694,

US5,406,643, US7,275,116

Matter: Wrote an invalidity expert report and wrote a response to an infringement

report. Testified in depositions 7/21/2011 re: Patent litigation related to wireless and mobile devices, including smartphones and tablets, 2011.

Case Name: EIT v. YELP! Inc. 3:10-cv-05623-WHA (ND CA)

Client: Goldstein & Lipski, P.LLC (Houston, TX)

Patent No: US5,828,837, US6,249,868, US6,594,765, US6,081,786,

US6,188,988, US7,298,271, USRE40,683, US8,060,504

Matter: Wrote an expert report re: Patent litigation related to Internet and Web

technologies, network communications, 2011.

Case Name: **Software Research**, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (ND, CA)

Client: Hosie Rice, LLP (San Francisco, CA)

Patent No: US7,231,606, US7,757,175

Matter: Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 re: Patent litigation related to Internet

web technologies and e-commerce, network communications, 2011.

Case Name: Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG

Client: David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA)

Matter: Testified in depositions. Testified before an arbitrator regarding network

communications infrastructure, Unified Communications, 2011.

Case Name: HP v. Alacritech USPTO Interference No. 105,775

Client: Silicon Edge Law Group, LLP

Patent No: US6,246,683, US6,226,680, US6,697,868

Matter: Wrote an expert report, an expert declaration, a response expert report,

and a reply expert report. Testified twice in depositions re: USPTO Interference: Patents related to network communications protocols, TCP/IP Protocol Suite,

Internet Protocol, 2011.

Case Name: Fortinet v. **Palo Alto Networks** 5:09-cv-00036-RMW (ND, CA)

Client: Durie Tangri, LLP (Palo Alto, CA)

Patent No: US7,519,990, US1,111,111, US7,376,125, US7,177,311,

US6,930,978

Matter: Wrote an invalidity expert report. Testified in depositions. re: Patent litigation related to network communications filtering, routing, packet switching, 2010.

Case Name: Backweb Technologies v. Microsoft 4:09-cv-01224-CW (ND, CA)

Client: Hausfeld, LLP (San Francisco, CA)

Patent No: US6,374,289, US6,493,758, US6,539,429, US5,913,040, US6,317,789, US6,539,429, US7,512,943, US7,139,815, US7,216,180,

US6,920,110, US7,325,068

Matter: Wrote an expert report re: Patent litigation related to Data Communications, network communication bandwidth allocation, routing, packet switching, 2010.

Case Name: Linksmart Wireless v. Cisco Systems, T-Mobile

4:09-cv-01224-CW (ND, CA), 2:08-cv-00385,2:09-cv-00026

Client: August & Kabat (Los Angeles, CA)

Patent No: US6,779,118

Matter: Wrote an expert report. Testified in a deposition. re: Patent litigation related to network communication, Internet Protocols, dynamically redirecting and filtering

Internet traffic, 2010.

Case Name: Zand v. Armin 1-09-FL-149015

Client: Law Offices of Rod Firoozye (Palo Alto, CA)

Matter: Wrote an expert report. Testified in a deposition. Testified in court re: iPhone email system. Email origination in iPhone, desktop computer, and laptop, 2010.

Case Name: **Teleconference System** v. Tandberg

3:10-cv-01325-JSW (ND, CA)

Client: The Simon Law Firm, PC (St. Louis, MO)

Patent No: US6,980,526

Matter: Investigated patent and related technologies regarding network protocols

in

the teleconference system. Video Teleconferencing, Video streaming, 2010.

Case Name: F5 Networks v. A10 Networks 2:10-cv-00654-MJP (WD WASH)

Client: Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

Patent No: US7,102,996, US7,395,349, US6,311,278

Matter: Invalidity investigation, a search for prior art re: Patents related to

network communications, firewalls, and load balancing, 2010.

Case Name: IP Innovation v. Google 2:07-cv-503-RRR (ED TX)

Client: Niro Scavone Haller & Niro Patent No: US5,276,785, US5,675,819

Matter: Wrote an infringement expert report and a rebuttal expert report re:

Patent litigation related to Internet and Web technologies, 2009.

Case Name: Trace Wilco, Inc. v. Cisco 5:09-cv-000810-SI

Client: Niro Scavone Haller & Niro

Patent No: US6,158,011, US6,061,796, US7,325,063, US5,796,948

Matter: Investigated patents and related technologies regarding Network communications protocols. Routing, switching, VoIP, and video streaming, 2009.